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## ADDITIONAL PAGES ON SCHEDULE ITEMS

| Item | Ref. No | Content |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 08 \& \\ & 09 \end{aligned}$ | 15/05042/LBC CT.4264/S <br> 15/05041/FUL CT.4264/R | Update from Agent: Submission of 3D image of the proposed orangery and a section detailing how the orangery roof will be constructed against the house roof. Please see attached |
| 10 | 15/04827/FUL CT.2596/2/Y | Update from Town Council: For the purpose of clarity, the Town Council have confirmed that they also object for the same reasons as local residents who object for the following reasons - <br> 1. The extinguishing of the front door and its replacement with a study conflicts with the style and character of all other houses on the Abbey Grounds estate. Consequently permission should be refused. <br> 2. The creation of a front door on the side wall conflicts with the style and character of all other houses on the estate. Consequently permission should be refused. <br> 3. Further to 2. above, the creation of a new front door (and planned canopy/porch - see fixtures in wall already) and windows opening on to public and green land encourages the applicant to use public land that he does not own for private purposes. Consequently permission should be refused for this undeclared but obvious addition to the current planning application. <br> 4. Clearly CDC and GCC have a major role in protecting its citizens from floods. The proposed rear extension at No 8 runs directly over the culvert outfall pipe that takes much flood water (and can leak when under pressure) and is the only source of river water for the lake. This over-build should not be permitted unless or until there is written permission from the Environment Agency that it approves it as safe and prudent. (1 have repeatedly asked for this from E.A. - but have received no such written assurance to date). |


|  | 5. A detail, but an important and undeclared one. The <br> Juliet balcony has 'disappeared' from the south east <br> aspect first floor room (marked as a bedroom but clearly <br> intended as a living room - it has no access to a bath or <br> shower unlike the other bedrooms. Obviously at least one <br> and possibly all of the four glazed panels will open directly <br> on to a flat roof. It should be stated as a condition that the <br> flat roof cannot be used as a balcony, balustrade or any <br> unauthorised 'sitting out' area. |
| :--- | :--- |
| The above points demonstrate, there are too many <br> unacceptable features to permit this development as it <br> stands, and would urge that it be refused. |  |




